

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Matrices coupled in a chain: II. Spacing functions

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 4457 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/31/19/011)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.122 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 06:51

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Matrices coupled in a chain: II. Spacing functions

Gilbert Mahoux[†], Madan Lal Mehta[‡] and Jean-Marie Normand[§] CEA/Saclay, Service de Physique Théorique, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Received 28 October 1997

Abstract. For the eigenvalues of p complex Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices coupled in a chain, we give a method of calculating the spacing functions. This is a generalization of the one-matrix case which has been known for a long time.

1. Introduction

Let us recall here a few facts concerning the case of one matrix. For an $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrix A with matrix elements probability density $\exp[-\operatorname{tr} V(A)]$, the probability density of its eigenvalues $x := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is [1]

$$F(\boldsymbol{x}) \propto \exp\left[-\sum_{j=1}^{n} V(x_j)\right] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)^2$$
(1.1*a*)

$$\propto \det[K(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \tag{1.1b}$$

where V(x) is a real polynomial of even order, the coefficient of the highest power being positive; K(x, y) is defined by

$$K(x, y) := \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}V(x) - \frac{1}{2}V(y)\right] \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_i} P_i(x) P_i(y)$$
(1.2)

 $P_i(x)$ is a real polynomial of degree *i* and the polynomials are chosen orthogonal with the weight $\exp[-V(x)]$,

$$\int P_i(x)P_j(x)\exp[-V(x)]\,\mathrm{d}x = h_i\delta_{ij}.$$
(1.3)

Here and in what follows, all the integrals are taken from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

The correlation function $R_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$, i.e. the density of ordered sets of k eigenvalues within small intervals around x_1, \ldots, x_k , ignoring the other eigenvalues, is

$$R_{k}(x_{1},...,x_{k}) := \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \int F(x) \, \mathrm{d}x_{k+1} \dots \, \mathrm{d}x_{n}$$

= det[K(x_{i},x_{j})]_{i,j=1,...,k}. (1.4)

† E-mail address: mahoux@spht.saclay.cea.fr

‡ Member of Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France. E-mail address: mehta@spht.saclay.cea.fr

§ E-mail address: norjm@spht.saclay.cea.fr

0305-4470/98/194457+08\$19.50 © 1998 IOP Publishing Ltd

4457

The spacing function E(k, I), i.e. the probability that a chosen domain I contains exactly k eigenvalues $(0 \le k \le n)$, is

$$E(k, I) := \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \int F(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi(x_j) \right] \left[\prod_{j=k+1}^{n} [1-\chi(x_j)] \right] dx_1 \dots dx_n$$
$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^k R(z, I) \Big|_{z=-1}$$
(1.5)

where $\chi(x)$ is the characteristic function of the domain *I*,

$$\chi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

and R(z, I) is the generating function of the integrals over I of the correlation functions $R_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$,

$$R(z, I) := \int F(x) \prod_{j=1}^{n} [1 + z\chi(x_j)] \, \mathrm{d}x_j = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\rho_k}{k!} z^k$$
(1.7)

$$\rho_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k \equiv 0 \\ \int R_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \prod_{j=1}^k \chi(x_j) \, \mathrm{d}x_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

The R(z, I) of equation (1.7) can be expressed as a determinant

$$R(z, I) = \det[G_{ij}]_{i,j=0,\dots,n-1}$$
(1.9)

where, using the orthogonality, equation (1.3), of polynomials $P_i(x)$ and splitting the constant and linear terms in z, G_{ij} reads

$$G_{ij} = \frac{1}{h_i} \int P_i(x) P_j(x) \exp[-V(x)][1 + z\chi(x)] dx = \delta_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ij}.$$
 (1.10)

Finally, R(z, I) can also be written as the Fredholm determinant

$$R(z, I) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [1 + \lambda_k(z, I)]$$
(1.11)

of the integral equation

$$\int N(x, y) f(y) \,\mathrm{d}y = \lambda f(x) \tag{1.12}$$

where, remarkably, the kernel N(x, y) is simply $zK(x, y)\chi(y)$ with K(x, y) of equation (1.2). The $\lambda_i(z, I)$ are the eigenvalues of the above equation and also of the matrix $[\bar{G}_{ij}]$.

These results can be extended to a chain of p complex Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices. We consider the probability density for their elements

$$\mathcal{F}(A_1, \dots, A_p) \propto \exp[-\operatorname{tr}\{\frac{1}{2}V_1(A_1) + V_2(A_2) + \dots + V_{p-1}(A_{p-1}) + \frac{1}{2}V_p(A_p)\}] \\ \times \exp[\operatorname{tr}\{c_1A_1A_2 + c_2A_2A_3 + \dots + c_{p-1}A_{p-1}A_p\}].$$
(1.13)

Here $V_j(x)$ are real polynomials of even order with positive coefficients of their highest power and the c_j are real constants such that all the integrals which follow converge. For each j the eigenvalues of the matrix A_j are real and will be denoted by $x_j := \{x_{j1}, y_{j1}\}$ x_{j2}, \ldots, x_{jn} . The probability density for the eigenvalues of all the *p* matrices resulting from equation (1.13) is [2–5]

$$F(\boldsymbol{x_1}; \dots; \boldsymbol{x_p}) = C \exp\left[-\sum_{r=1}^{n} \{\frac{1}{2}V_1(x_{1r}) + V_2(x_{2r}) + \dots + V_{p-1}(x_{p-1r}) + \frac{1}{2}V_p(x_{pr})\}\right] \\ \times \left[\prod_{1 \le r < s \le n} (x_{1s} - x_{1r})(x_{ps} - x_{pr})\right] \det[e^{c_1 x_{1r} x_{2s}}] \det[e^{c_2 x_{2r} x_{3s}}] \dots \det[e^{c_{p-1} x_{p-1r} x_{ps}}]$$
(1.14)

$$= C \left[\prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (x_{1s} - x_{1r})(x_{ps} - x_{pr}) \right] \left[\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \det[w_k(x_{kr}, x_{k+1s})]_{r,s=1,\dots,n} \right]$$
(1.15)

where

$$w_k(x, y) := \exp[-\frac{1}{2}V_k(x) - \frac{1}{2}V_{k+1}(y) + c_k xy]$$
(1.16)

and C is a normalization constant such that the integral of F over all the np variables x_{ir} is one.

The correlation function

$$R_{k_1,\dots,k_p}(x_{11},\dots,x_{1k_1};\dots;x_{p1},\dots,x_{pk_p}) := \int F(\boldsymbol{x_1};\dots;\boldsymbol{x_p}) \prod_{j=1}^p \left[\frac{n!}{(n-k_j)!} \prod_{r_j=k_j+1}^n \mathrm{d}x_{jr_j} \right]$$
(1.17)

was calculated in a previous paper [6] to be an $m \times m$ determinant $(m = k_1 + \dots + k_p)$ $R_{k_1,\dots,k_p}(x_{11},\dots,x_{1k_1};\dots;x_{p1},\dots,x_{pk_p}) = \det[K_{ij}(x_{ir},x_{js})]_{i,j=1,\dots,p;r=1,\dots,k_i;s=1,\dots,k_j}$. (1.18) This is the density of ordered sets of k_j eigenvalues of A_j within small intervals around x_{j1},\dots,x_{jk_j} for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. The expression of K_{ij} is recalled at the end of section 2.

Here we will consider the spacing function $E(k_1, I_1; ...; k_p, I_p)$, i.e. the probability that the domain I_j contains exactly k_j eigenvalues of the matrix A_j for $j = 1, ..., p, 0 \le k_j \le n$. The domains I_j may have overlaps. As in the one-matrix case one has evidently

$$E(k_1, I_1; \dots; k_p, I_p) = \frac{1}{k_1!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^{k_1} \cdots \frac{1}{k_p!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_p}\right)^{k_p} R(z_1, I_1; \dots; z_p, I_p) \Big|_{z_1 = \dots = z_p = -1}$$
(1.19)

with the generating function

$$R(z_1, I_1; \dots; z_p, I_p) = \int F(\boldsymbol{x_1}; \dots; \boldsymbol{x_p}) \prod_{j=1}^p \prod_{r=1}^n [1 + z_j \chi_j(x_{jr})] dx_{jr}$$
(1.20)

$$=\sum_{k_1=0}^{n}\cdots\sum_{k_p=0}^{n}\frac{\rho_{k_1,\dots,k_p}}{k_1!\dots k_p!}z_1^{k_1}\dots z_p^{k_p}$$
(1.21)

where $\rho_{0,0,\dots,0} = 1$ and otherwise

$$\rho_{k_1,\dots,k_p} = \prod_{j=1}^p \left[\int_{I_j} \prod_{r=1}^{k_j} \mathrm{d}x_{jr} \right] R_{k_1,\dots,k_p}(x_{11},\dots,x_{1k_1};\dots;x_{p1},\dots,x_{pk_p}).$$
(1.22)

 $\chi_j(x)$ being the characteristic function of the domain I_j , equation (1.6).

The function $R(z_1, I_1; ...; z_p, I_p)$ will be expressed as an $n \times n$ determinant. It will also be written as a Fredholm determinant, the kernel of which will now depend on the variables $z_1, ..., z_p$ and the domains $I_1, ..., I_p$ in a more involved way than in the one-matrix case. In particular, it does not have the remarkable form mentioned after equation (1.12).

2. The generating function $R(z_1, I_1; ...; z_p, I_p)$

The expression of F, equation (1.15), contains a product of determinants. As the product of differences

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{x_1}) = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (x_{1s} - x_{1r})$$
(2.1)

and det[$w_1(x_{1r}, x_{2s})$] are completely antisymmetric and other factors in the integrand of equation (1.20) are completely symmetric in the variables x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1n} , one can replace det[$w_1(x_{1r}, x_{2s})$] under the integral sign in equation (1.20) by a single term, say the diagonal one, and multiply by n!. This single term is invariant under a permutation of the variables x_{1r} and simultaneously the same permutation on the variables x_{2r} . Therefore, after integration over the x_{1r} , $r = 1, \ldots, n$, the integrand, excluding the factor det[$w_2(x_{2r}, x_{3s})$], is completely antisymmetric in the variables x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2n} and so one can replace the second determinant det[$w_2(x_{2r}, x_{3s})$] by a single term, say the diagonal one, and multiply the result by n!. In this way, under the integral sign one can replace successively each of the p - 1determinants det[$w_k(x_{kr}, x_{k+1s})$] by a single term multiplying the result each time by n!

$$R(z_{1}, I_{1}; ...; z_{p}, I_{p}) = (n!)^{p-1} C \int \Delta(x_{1}) \Delta(x_{p}) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \prod_{r=1}^{n} w_{j}(x_{jr}, x_{j+1r}) \right] \\ \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{p} \prod_{r=1}^{n} [1 + z_{j} \chi_{j}(x_{jr})] dx_{jr} \right].$$
(2.2)

Recall that a polynomial is called monic when the coefficient of the highest power is one. Also recall that the product of differences $\Delta(x)$ can be written as a determinant

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \det[x_i^{j-1}] = \det[P_{j-1}(x_i)] = \det[Q_{j-1}(x_i)]$$
(2.3)

where $P_j(x)$ and $Q_j(x)$ are arbitrary monic polynomials of degree *j*. As usual, we will choose these polynomials real and bi-orthogonal [6]

$$\int P_j(x)(w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_{p-1})(x, y)Q_k(y) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y = h_j \delta_{jk}$$
(2.4)

with the obvious notation

$$(f * g)(x, y) = \int f(x, \xi)g(\xi, y) \,\mathrm{d}\xi.$$
 (2.5)

The conditions on the weights w_i which ensure the existence and uniqueness of such polynomials are recalled in appendix A.

The normalization constant C is [6],

$$C = (n!)^{-p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^{-1}.$$
(2.6)

Now expand the determinant as a sum over n! permutations $(i) := \begin{pmatrix} 0, \dots, n-1 \\ i_1, \dots, i_n \end{pmatrix}$, $\pi(i)$ being its sign,

$$\det[P_{s-1}(x_{1r})] = \sum_{(i)} \pi(i) P_{i_1}(x_{11}) P_{i_2}(x_{12}) \dots P_{i_n}(x_{1n}).$$
(2.7)

Doing the same thing for det[$Q_{s-1}(x_{pr})$] and integrating over all the *np* variables x_{jr} ; j = 1, ..., p; r = 1, ..., n in equation (2.2), one obtains

$$R(z_1, I_1; \dots; z_p, I_p) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{(i)} \sum_{(j)} \pi(i) \pi(j) G_{i_1 j_1} G_{i_2 j_2} \dots G_{i_n j_n}$$
$$= \det[G_{ij}]_{i,j=0,\dots,n-1}$$
(2.8)

where

$$G_{ij} = \frac{1}{h_i} \int P_i(x_1) \left[\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} w_k(x_k, x_{k+1}) \right] Q_j(x_p) \left[\prod_{k=1}^p [1 + z_k \chi_k(x_k)] dx_k \right].$$
(2.9)

When all the z_k vanish, G_{ij} is equal to δ_{ij} as a consequence of the bi-orthogonality, equation (2.4), of the polynomials $P_i(x)$ and $Q_j(x)$. Let us define \overline{G}_{ij} as follows

$$\bar{G}_{ij} := G_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \tag{2.10}$$

so that

$$\bar{G}_{ij} = \frac{1}{h_i} \int P_i(x_1) \left[\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} w_k(x_k, x_{k+1}) \right] Q_j(x_p) \left[\prod_{k=1}^p [1 + z_k \chi_k(x_k)] - 1 \right] \left[\prod_{k=1}^p dx_k \right].$$
(2.11)

Any $n \times n$ determinant is the product of its *n* eigenvalues and therefore one has

$$R(z_1, I_1; \dots; z_p, I_p) = \prod_{k=1}^n [1 + \lambda_k(z_1, I_1; \dots; z_p, I_p)]$$
(2.12)

where the $\lambda_k(z_1, I_1; ...; z_p, I_p)$ are the *n* roots (not necessarily distinct, either real or pairwise complex conjugates, since \bar{G}_{ij} is real) of the algebraic equation in λ

$$\det[\bar{G}_{ij} - \lambda \delta_{ij}] = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

One can always write a Fredholm integral equation with a separable kernel whose eigenvalues are identical to these (cf [7] for the case of p = 2 matrices). Indeed, for any eigenvalue λ the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{G}_{ij}\xi_j = \lambda\xi_i \tag{2.14}$$

has at least one solution ξ_i , i = 0, ..., n - 1, not all zero. Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $Q_i(x)$, summing over i and using equation (2.11) gives the Fredholm equation

$$\int N(x, x_p) f(x_p) dx_p = \lambda f(x)$$
(2.15)

where

$$f(x) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \xi_{\ell} Q_{\ell}(x)$$
(2.16)

$$N(x, x_p) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_\ell} Q_\ell(x) \int P_\ell(x_1) \left[\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} w_k(x_k, x_{k+1}) \right] \\ \times \left[\prod_{k=1}^p [1 + z_k \chi_k(x_k)] - 1 \right] \left[\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} dx_k \right].$$
(2.17)

Hence, if λ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $[\bar{G}_{ij}]$, it is also an eigenvalue of the integral equation (2.15). Conversely, since the kernel $N(x, x_p)$ is separable and since the polynomials $Q_i(x)$ for i = 0, ..., n - 1 are linearly independent, if λ and f(x) are, respectively an eigenvalue and an eigenfunction of the integral equation (2.15), then f(x) is necessarily of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \xi_{\ell} Q_{\ell}(x)$$
(2.18)

and the ξ_{ℓ} , $\ell = 0, ..., n - 1$, not all zero, satisfy equation (2.14). Therefore λ is a root of equation (2.13).

When one considers the eigenvalues of a single matrix anywhere in the chain, disregarding those of the other matrices, everything works as if one is dealing with the onematrix case and formulae (1.2), (1.5), (1.7) and (1.11) are valid with minor replacements. Similarly, when one considers properties of the eigenvalues of k ($1 \le k \le p$) matrices situated anywhere in the chain, not necessarily consecutive, everything works as if one is dealing with a chain of only k matrices; the presence of other matrices only modifies the couplings.

To say anything more about the general case is difficult.

When $V_j(x) = a_j x^2$, j = 1, ..., p, then the polynomials $P_j(x)$ and $Q_j(x)$ are Hermite polynomials $P_j(x) = H_j(\alpha x)$, $Q_j(x) = H_j(\beta x)$, the constants α and β depending on the parameters a_j and the couplings c_j . In this particular case the calculation can be pushed to the end (see appendix B).

For the sake of completeness we repeat here the form of the kernels K_{ij} , equation (1.18), from [6]

$$K_{ij}(x, y) := H_{ij}(x, y) - E_{ij}(x, y)$$
(2.19)

where

$$H_{ij}(x, y) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{\ell}} \int (w_i * w_{i+1} * \dots * w_{p-1})(x, x_p) Q_{\ell}(x_p) \, \mathrm{d}x_p$$
$$\times \int P_{\ell}(x_1)(w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_{j-1})(x_1, y) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \qquad \begin{cases} 1 \leqslant i (2.20)$$

$$H_{pj}(x, y) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{\ell}} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}(x) \int P_{\ell}(x_1) (w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_{p-1}) (x_1, y) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \qquad 1 < j \le p$$
(2.21)

$$H_{i1}(x, y) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{\ell}} \int (w_i * w_{i+1} * \dots * w_{p-1})(x, x_p) Q_{\ell}(x_p) \, \mathrm{d}x_p \, P_{\ell}(y) \qquad 1 \le i
(2.22)$$

$$H_{p1}(x, y) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{\ell}} Q_{\ell}(x) P_{\ell}(y)$$
(2.23)

$$E_{ij}(x, y) := \begin{cases} (w_i * w_{i+1} * \dots * w_{j-1})(x, y) & \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2.24)

Appendix A. Bi-orthogonal polynomials

Given a non-negative weight function w(x, y) we shall assume that all the integrals

$$m_{i,j} := \langle x^i, y^j \rangle = \int w(x, y) x^i y^j \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \tag{A.1}$$

converge. If

$$G_n := \det[m_{i,j}]_{i,j=0,1,\dots,n}$$
 (A.2)

is not zero for all non-negative integers n = 0, 1, 2, ..., then we write

$$P_{n}(x) := \frac{1}{G_{n-1}} \det \begin{bmatrix} m_{0,0} & m_{0,1} & \dots & m_{0,n-1} & 1 \\ m_{1,0} & m_{1,1} & \dots & m_{1,n-1} & x \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ m_{n,0} & m_{n,1} & \dots & m_{n,n-1} & x^{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.3)
$$Q_{n}(x) := \frac{1}{G_{n-1}} \det \begin{bmatrix} m_{0,0} & m_{0,1} & \dots & m_{0,n} \\ m_{1,0} & m_{1,1} & \dots & m_{1,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ m_{n-1,0} & m_{n-1,1} & \dots & m_{n-1,n} \\ 1 & x & \dots & x^{n} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(A.4)

These $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$ are monic polynomials of order *n*. Also

$$\langle P_n(x), y^j \rangle = \frac{1}{G_{n-1}} \det \begin{bmatrix} m_{0,0} & m_{0,1} & \dots & m_{0,n-1} & m_{0,j} \\ m_{1,0} & m_{1,1} & \dots & m_{1,n-1} & m_{1,j} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ m_{n,0} & m_{n,1} & \dots & m_{n,n-1} & m_{n,j} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
(A.5)

if $0 \le j < n$, since the determinant on the right-hand side has two identical columns. Similarly, $\langle x^j, Q_n(y) \rangle = 0$, if $0 \le j < n$. This implies that $P_n(x)$ is orthogonal to all polynomials in y of order smaller than n and $Q_n(y)$ is orthogonal to all polynomials in x of order smaller than n. Also

$$h_{n} := \langle P_{n}(x), Q_{n}(y) \rangle = \langle P_{n}(x), y^{n} \rangle = \langle x^{n}, Q_{n}(y) \rangle$$

= $\frac{1}{G_{n-1}} \det[m_{i,j}]_{i,j=0,1,\dots,n} = G_{n}/G_{n-1}.$ (A.6)

The polynomials $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(y)$ are bi-orthogonal. They are also unique, since if there were two polynomials $P_n(x)$ and $R_n(x)$ both monic and orthogonal to all $Q_j(y)$ with $0 \le j < n$, then their difference, a polynomial of order at most n - 1 will be orthogonal to $Q_j(y)$ for $0 \le j \le n$. Expressing this polynomial as a linear combination of the $P_j(x)$ and determining the coefficients by orthogonality with the $Q_j(y)$, one sees that it is identically zero.

Appendix B. Quadratic potentials

For $V_j(x) = a_j x^2$, j = 1, ..., p, setting $W_{a,b,c}(x, y) := \exp(-\frac{1}{2}ax^2 - \frac{1}{2}by^2 + cxy)$ (B.1)

one obtains according to equation (2.5) the multiplication law

$$(W_{a,b,c} * W_{a',b',c'})(x, y) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{b+a'}\right)^{1/2} W_{a'',b'',c''}(x, y)$$
(B.2)

where

$$a'' = a - \frac{c^2}{b+a'}$$
 $b'' = b' - \frac{c'^2}{b+a'}$ $c'' = \frac{cc'}{b+a'}$ (B.3)

From equation (1.16), $w_k(x, y) = W_{a_k, a_{k+1}, c_k}(x, y)$ and repeated use of the above multiplication law yields

$$W(x, y) := (w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_{p-1})(x, y) = d \times W_{a,b,c}(x, y)$$
(B.4)

where a, b, c and d are constants depending on the parameters a_1, \ldots, a_p and c_1, \ldots, c_{p-1} .

The orthogonality relation (2.4) of the polynomials $P_j(x)$ and $Q_j(x)$ takes the form

$$\int P_j(x)W(x, y)Q_k(y) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y = h_j \delta_{jk} \tag{B.5}$$

namely the same relation as in the two-matrix case with the weight W(x, y), an exponential of a quadratic form in x and y. It follows that $P_j(x)$ and $Q_j(x)$ are Hermite polynomials of x times a constant

$$P_j(x) = H_j(\alpha x) \qquad \alpha := \left(\frac{ab - c^2}{2b}\right)^{1/2}$$
(B.6)

$$Q_j(x) = H_j(\beta x) \qquad \beta := \left(\frac{ab - c^2}{2a}\right)^{1/2} \tag{B.7}$$

$$h_j = \frac{2\pi}{(ab - c^2)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{ab}}\right)^j 2^j j! d.$$
(B.8)

The eigenvalue density of the matrix A_1 , for example, ignoring the eigenvalues of other matrices, is from equation (1.18)

$$R_{1}(x) = K_{11}(x, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{j}} P_{j}(x) \int W(x, y) Q_{j}(y) \, dy$$

$$= d \left(\frac{2\pi}{b}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\alpha^{2}x^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{j}} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{ab}}\right)^{j} H_{j}^{2}(\alpha x)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\alpha^{2}x^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{H_{j}^{2}(\alpha x)}{2^{j} j!}$$
(B.9)

which in the large *n* limit is a semicircle of radius $\sqrt{2n}/\alpha$. Thus, in this particular case of coupled matrices, one recovers Wigner's 'semicircle law' for the eigenvalues of a single matrix.

The kernel of the integral equation (2.15) is given by equation (2.17) with $P_j(x)$, $Q_j(x)$ and h_j as given above. To go further, one has to explicitly take the domains I_j into account.

References

- [1] See for example, Mehta M L 1991 *Random Matrices* (San Diego, CA: Academic) ch 3 (here only the case $V(x) = x^2$ is considered, but the same method applies when V(x) is any real polynomial of even order)
- [2] See for example, Mehta M L 1991 Random Matrices (San Diego, CA: Academic) appendix A.5
- [3] Itzykson C and Zuber J B 1980 The planar approximation II J. Math. Phys. 21 411-21
- [4] Mehta M L 1981 A method of integration over matrix variables Commun. Math. Phys. 79 327-40
- [5] Mehta M L 1989 Matrix Theory (Les Ulis: Les Editions de Physique) ch 13.2
- [6] Eynard B and Mehta M L 1998 Matrices coupled in a chain: I. Eigenvalue correlations J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 4449–56
- [7] Mehta M L and Shukla P 1994 Two coupled matrices: eigenvalue correlations and spacing functions J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 7793–803